

From: [Jay Field](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Corinne Severn](#)
Cc: [Robert Neely](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: Re: PH sums---missing info
Date: 11/08/2010 10:48 AM

Eric,
the data from the missing files appear to be from areas that may be included in remediation areas---so I thought maybe they would be considered already remediated and that's why there might be a difference between the BERA and RI datasets...Also, please note that updated PH QM datasets were posted today on our web site. To query LWG's sums, you need to select the "reported" sums. the standard sums in QM are still the ones that confirm to our summing rules.

Corinne,
please check the file to see if the information is included under a pseudonym as Eric suggests. I will try to call you later.
thanks,
Jay

Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

> Jay, the data should be in the data base file you cited below. I think
> the problem is that the summed parameters are not always called the same
> thing. Margaret and I found this problem when we were pulling some of
> the data together a couple weeks ago.

>
> Many of the samples have BERA Sum field where PAHs are reported as
> "Total HPAHs (calc'd)", "Total LPAHs (calc'd)", and "Total PAHs
> (calc'd)." For the samples you listed, PAHs are listed as "High
> Molecular Weight PAH", "Low Molecular Weight PAH" and "Total PAHs."

>
> I assume (but cannot confirm) that Total PAHs were calculated the same
> as Total PAHs (calc'd).

>
> I will touch base with integral to make sure.

> Eric

>
> From: Jay Field <Jay.Field@noaa.gov>

> To: Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

> Cc: Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, POULSEN Mike <POULSEN.Mike@deq.state.or.us>,
Jennifer Peterson <PETERSON.Jennifer@deq.state.or.us>, Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

> Date: 11/07/2010 11:42 AM

> Subject: PH sums---missing info

>
> Eric,
> as a followup on your 9 commandments for the predictive models, I have
> been reviewing summed concentrations:

>
> 6) Summing should be performed in accordance with previous agreements.
> Summing rules for the two models should be identical.

>
> In my review of summed parameters, I discovered that we are missing data
> for 37 of 293 stations (list of stations attached).

>
> We obtained the data we put into QM from a file:
> RI_Dataset+RA_SummedParameterTotals_20090921.mdb.

>
> If the summed concentration data for those 37 stations is available in a
> different file, please let me know (and how to get it). For the time
> being, we are using our summing routines for those 37 stations.

> Looking forward to seeing all of you in Portland this week. You can
> reach me at (b) (6)

> thanks,
> Jay

>
> --
> Jay Field
> Assessment and Restoration Division
> Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA
> 7600 Sand Point Way NE
> Seattle, WA 98115-6349
> (P) 206-526-6404
> (F) 206-526-6865
> (E) jay.field@noaa.gov

> [attachment "PH_missing_sums_101107.xls" deleted by Eric
> Blischke/R10/USEPA/US]

>

>

--

Jay Field
Assessment and Restoration Division
Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA
7600 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6349
(P) 206-526-6404
(F) 206-526-6865
(E) jay.field@noaa.gov